

General

Guideline Title

American Geriatrics Society 2015 updated Beers Criteria for potentially inappropriate medication use in older adults.

Bibliographic Source(s)

American Geriatrics Society 2015 Beers Criteria Update Expert Panel. American Geriatrics Society 2015 updated Beers Criteria for potentially inappropriate medication use in older adults. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2015 Nov;63(11):2227-46. [42 references] PubMed

Guideline Status

This is the current release of the guideline.

This guideline updates a previous version: American Geriatrics Society 2012 Beers Criteria Update Expert Panel. American Geriatrics Society updated Beers Criteria for potentially inappropriate medication use in older adults. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2012 Apr;60(4):616-31. [35 references]

This guideline meets NGC's 2013 (revised) inclusion criteria

Regulatory Alert

FDA Warning/Regulatory Alert

Note from the National Guideline Clearinghouse: This guideline references a drug(s) for which important revised regulatory and/or warning information has been released.

| • | October 25, 2016 – Testosterone and Other Anabolic Androgenic Steroids (AAS) |
|---|---|
| | Administration (FDA) approved class-wide labeling changes for all prescription testosterone products, adding a new Warning and updating |
| | the Abuse and Dependence section to include new safety information from published literature and case reports regarding the risks |
| | associated with abuse and dependence of testosterone and other AAS. |
| • | August 31, 2016 – Opioid pain and cough medicines combined with benzodiazepines : A U.S. Food and Drug |
| | Administration (FDA) review has found that the growing combined used of opioid medicines with benzodiazepines or other drugs that |
| | depress the central nervous system (CNS) has resulted in serious side effects, including slowed or difficult breathing and deaths. FDA is |
| | adding Boxed Warnings to the drug labeling of prescription opioid pain and prescription opioid cough medicines and benzodiazepines. |
| • | May 10, 2016 - Olanzapine : The U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) is warning that the antipsychotic |
| | medicine olanzapine can cause a rare but serious skin reaction that can progress to affect other parts of the body. FDA is adding a new |
| | warning to the drug labels for all olanzapine-containing products that describes this severe condition known as Drug Reaction with |
| | Eosinophilia and Systemic Symptoms (DRESS). |
| • | May 3, 2016 – Aripiprazole (Abilify, Abilify Maintena, Aristada) : The U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) |
| | is warning that compulsive or uncontrollable urges to gamble, binge eat, shop, and have sex have been reported with the use of the |

antipsychotic drug aripiprazole (Abilify, Abilify Maintena, Aristada, and generics). These uncontrollable urges were reported to have stopped when the medicine was discontinued or the dose was reduced. These impulse-control problems are rare, but they may result in harm to the patient and others if not recognized.

• March 22, 2016 – Opioid pain medicines : The U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) is warning about several safety issues with the entire class of opioid pain medicines. These safety risks are potentially harmful interactions with numerous other medications, problems with the adrenal glands, and decreased sex hormone levels. They are requiring changes to the labels of all opioid drugs to warn about these risks.

Recommendations

Major Recommendations

Definitions of quality of evidence (high, moderate, low) and strength of recommendation (strong, weak, insufficient) are provided at the end of the "Major Recommendations" field.

Table. 2015 American Geriatrics Society (AGS) Beers Criteria for Potentially Inappropriate Medication Use in Older Adults

| Organ System, Therapeutic Category, Drug | Rationale | Recommendation | Quality of Evidence | Strength of Recommendation |
|---|---|----------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|
| Anticholinergics | | 1 | | |
| First-generation Antihistamines Brompheniramine Carbinoxamine Chlorpheniramine Clemastine Cyproheptadine Dexbrompheniramine Dexchlorpheniramine Dimenhydrinate Diphenhydramine (oral) Doxylamine Hydroxyzine Meclizine Promethazine Triprolidine | Highly anticholinergic; clearance reduced with advanced age, and tolerance develops when used as hypnotic; risk of confusion, dry mouth, constipation, and other anticholinergic effects or toxicity Use of diphenhydramine in situations such as acute treatment of severe allergic reaction may be appropriate | Avoid | Moderate | Strong |
| Antiparkinsonian Agents Benztropine (oral) Trihexyphenidyl | Not recommended for prevention of extrapyramidal symptoms with antipsychotics; more-effective agents available for treatment of Parkinson disease | Avoid | Moderate | Strong |
| Antispasmodics Atropine (excludes ophthalmic) Belladonna alkaloids Clidinium-chlordiazepoxide Dicyclomine Hyoscyamine Propantheline Scopolamine | Highly anticholinergic, uncertain effectiveness | Avoid | Moderate | Strong |

| Antitagombotics tem Dipyridariole, oral short- Therapeutic Category, acting (does not apply to Drug the extended-refease | May cause orthostatic hypotension; more effective alternatives available; intravenous form acceptable for use in cardiac stress | Recommendation Avoid | Ouality Moderate of Evidence | Strength of Strong Recommendation |
|--|--|---|---------------------------------------|---|
| combination with aspirin) | testing | | | |
| Ticlopidine | Safer, effective alternatives available | Avoid | Moderate | Strong |
| Anti-infective | | | ' | ' |
| Nitrofurantoin | Potential for pulmonary toxicity, hepatotoxicity, and peripheral neuropathy, especially with long-term use; safer alternatives available | Avoid in individuals with creatinine clearance <30 mL/min or for long-term suppression of bacteria | Low | Strong |
| Cardiovascular | | | | |
| Peripheral Alpha-1 Blockers | High risk of orthostatic hypotension; not recommended as routine treatment for hypertension; alternative agents have superior risk—benefit profile | Avoid use as an antihypertensive | Moderate | Strong |
| Central Alpha Blockers Clonidine Guanabenz Guanfacine Methyldopa Reserpine (>0.1 mg/d) | High risk of adverse CNS effects; may cause bradycardia and orthostatic hypotension; not recommended as routine treatment for hypertension | Avoid clonidine as first-line antihypertensive. Avoid others as listed | Low | Strong |
| Disopyramide | Disopyramide is a potent negative inotrope and therefore may induce heart failure in older adults; strongly anticholinergic; other antiarrhythmic drugs preferred | Avoid | Low | Strong |
| Dronedarone | Worse outcomes have been reported in patients taking dronedarone who have permanent atrial fibrillation or severe or recently decompensated heart failure | Avoid in individuals with permanent atrial fibrillation or severe or recently decompensated heart failure | High | Strong |
| Digoxin | Use in atrial fibrillation: should not be used as a first-line agent in atrial fibrillation, because more-effective alternatives exist and it may be associated with increased mortality | Avoid as first-line therapy for atrial fibrillation | Atrial fibrillation: Moderate | Atrial fibrillation: Strong |
| | Use in heart failure; questionable effects on risk of hospitalization and may be associated with increased mortality in older adults with heart failure; in heart failure, higher dosages not associated with additional benefit and may increase risk of toxicity | Avoid as first-line therapy for heart failure | Heart failure: Low | Heart failure: Strong |
| | Decreased renal clearance of digoxin may lead to increased risk of toxic effects; further dose reduction may be necessary in patients with Stage 4 or 5 chronic kidney disease | If used for atrial fibrillation or heart failure, avoid dosages >0.125 mg/d | Dosage >0.125 mg/d: Moderate | Dosage >0.125 mg/d: Strong |
| Nifedipine, immediate release | Potential for hypotension; risk of precipitating myocardial ischemia | Avoid | High | Strong |

| Organ System, Therapeutic Category, | other antiarrhythmics dised in atrial fibrillation; it may be reasonable first-line | fibrillation unless patient has heart failure or substantial | Quality of | Strength of Recommendation |
|--|--|---|------------------------------|----------------------------|
| Drug | therapy in patients with concomitant heart failure or substantial left ventricular | left ventricular hypertrophy | Evidence | |
| | hypertrophy if rhythm control is preferred over rate control | | | |
| Central Nervous System | | | | |
| Antidepressants, Alone or in Combination • Amitriptyline | Highly anticholinergic, sedating, and cause orthostatic hypotension; safety profile of low dose doxepin (6 mg/d) comparable with that of placebo | Avoid | High | Strong |
| Amoxapine Clomipramine Desipramine Doxepin >6 mg/d Imipramine Nortriptyline Paroxetine Protriptyline Trimipramine | | | | |
| Antipsychotics, First- (Conventional) and | Increased risk of cerebrovascular accident (stroke) and greater rate of cognitive | Avoid, except for schizophrenia, bipolar | Moderate | Strong |
| Second- (Atypical) Generation | decline and mortality in persons with dementia. Avoid antipsychotics for behavioral problems of dementia or delirium unless nonpharmacological options (e.g., behavioral interventions) have failed or are not possible <i>and</i> the older adult is threatening substantial harm | disorder, or short-term use as antiemetic during chemotherapy | | |
| Barbiturates | to self or others High rate of physical dependence, | Avoid | High | Strong |
| Amobarbital Butabarbital Butalbital Mephobarbital Pentobarbital Phenobarbital Secobarbital | tolerance to sleep benefits, greater risk of overdose at low dosages | | | |
| Beorganical appropriate distance is practi | icing Alther and all he hervet inner eather drivers in vito rov | e the solidion of prescription drugs by | clin icland and to at | en Strongate patterns of |
| | clinicians and patients on proper drugusage; and evaluate Described in the proper drugusages; and evaluate peneral, all benzodiazepines increase risk | neann-outcome, quanty-or-care, cost, a | nd utinzation dat | a. |
| Alprazolam | of cognitive impairment, delirium, falls, | | | |
| Estazolam Lorazepam Oxazepam | fractures, and motor vehicle crashes in older adults | | | |
| TemazepamTriazolam | May be appropriate for seizure disorders, rapid eye movement sleep disorders, benzodiazepine withdrawal, ethanol | | | |
| Long-acting: | withdrawal, severe generalized anxiety disorder, and periprocedural anesthesia | | | |
| Clorazepate Chlordiazepoxide (alone or in combination with amitriptyline or | | | | |
| clidinium) Clonazepam Diazepam Flurazepam | | | | |
| | I and the second | | | |

| Organ System, Meprobainate Therapeutic Category, Drug | Rationale High rate of physical dependence; very sedating | Recommendation Avoid | Ouality Moderate Of Evidence | Strength of Strong Recommendation |
|--|--|--|--|---|
| Nonbenzodiazepine, Benzodiazepine Receptor Agonist Hypnotics | Benzodiazepine receptor agonists have adverse events similar to those of benzodiazepines in older adults (e.g., delirium, falls, fractures); increased emergency department visits and hospitalizations; motor vehicle crashes; minimal improvement in sleep latency and duration | Avoid | Moderate | Strong |
| Ergoloid mesylates (dehydrogenated ergot alkaloids) | Lack of efficacy | Avoid | High | Strong |
| Isoxsuprine | | | | |
| Endocrine | | | | |
| Methyltestosterone Testosterone | Potential for cardiac problems; contraindicated in men with prostate cancer | Avoid unless indicated for confirmed hypogonadism with clinical symptoms | Moderate | Weak |
| Desiccated thyroid | Concerns about cardiac effects; safer alternatives available | Avoid | Low | Strong |
| Estrogens with or without progestins | Evidence of carcinogenic potential (breast and endometrium); lack of cardioprotective effect and cognitive protection in older women. Evidence indicates that vaginal estrogens for the treatment of vaginal dryness are safe and effective; women with a history of breast cancer who do not respond to nonhormonal therapies are advised to discuss the risk and benefits of low-dose vaginal estrogen (dosages of estradiol <25 µg twice weekly) with their healthcare provider | Avoid oral and topical patch Vaginal cream or tablets: acceptable to use low-dose intravaginal estrogen for management of dyspareunia, lower urinary tract infections, and other vaginal symptoms | Oral and patch: High Vaginal cream or tablets: Moderate | Oral and patch: Strong Topical vaginal cream or tablets: Weak |
| Growth hormone | Impact on body composition is small and associated with edema, arthralgia, carpal tunnel syndrome, gynecomastia, impaired fasting glucose | Avoid, except as hormone replacement after pituitary gland removal | High | Strong |
| Insulin, sliding scale | Higher risk of hypoglycemia without improvement in hyperglycemia management regardless of care setting; refers to sole use of short- or rapid-acting insulins to manage or avoid hyperglycemia in absence of basal or long-acting insulin; does not apply to titration of basal insulin or use of additional short- or rapid-acting insulin in conjunction with scheduled insulin (i.e., correction insulin) | Avoid | Moderate | Strong |
| Megestrol | Minimal effect on weight; increases risk of thrombotic events and possibly death in older adults | Avoid | Moderate | Strong |
| drug use within populations; educate | ticing this right interpretent that is the time prove eclinicians and naticals on an open drug maser and evaluate the month open as a consequence of | e the velicition of prescription drugs by chealth-outcome, quality-of-care, cost, and | lin idiggis and pati nd utilization data | en Strynly ate patterns of |
| CNS = central nervous system; NSA • Chlorpropamide | TT | | | |
| Glyburide | secretion | | | |

| Organ System, Therapeutic Category, | Rationale Glyburide: higher risk of severe prolonged hypoglycemia in older adults | Recommendation | Quality of Evidence | Strength of Recommendation |
|---|---|---|--|--------------------------------------|
| Drug Gastrointestinal | -5,7 - 6,7 | | Evidence | |
| Metoclopramide | Can cause extrapyramidal effects, including tardive dyskinesia; risk may be greater in frail older adults | Avoid, unless for gastroparesis | Moderate | Strong |
| Mineral oil, given orally | Potential for aspiration and adverse effects; safer alternatives available | Avoid | Moderate | Strong |
| Proton-pump inhibitors | Risk of Clostridium difficile infection and bone loss and fractures | Avoid scheduled use for >8 weeks unless for high-risk patients (e.g., oral corticosteroids or chronic NSAID use), erosive esophagitis, Barrett's esophagitis, pathological hypersecretory condition, or demonstrated need for maintenance treatment (e.g., due to failure of drug discontinuation trial or H ₂ blockers) | High | Strong |
| Pain Medications | | | | |
| Meperidine | Not effective oral analgesic in dosages commonly used; may have higher risk of neurotoxicity, including delirium, than other opioids; safer alternatives available | Avoid, especially in individuals with chronic kidney disease | Moderate | Strong |
| Non-cyclooxygenase-selective NSAIDs, Oral: Aspirin >325 mg/d Diclofenac Diflunisal Etodolac Fenoprofen Ibuprofen Ketoprofen Meclofenamate Mefenamic acid Meloxicam Nabumetone Naproxen Oxaprozin Piroxicam Sulindac Tolmetin | Increased risk of gastrointestinal bleeding or peptic ulcer disease in high-risk groups, including those aged >75 or taking oral or parenteral corticosteroids, anticoagulants, or antiplatelet agents; use of proton-pump inhibitor or misoprostol reduces but does not eliminate risk. Upper gastrointestinal ulcers, gross bleeding, or perforation caused by NSAIDs occur in approximately 1% of patients treated for 3–6 months and in ~2–4% of patients treated for 1 year; these trends continue with longer duration of use | Avoid chronic use, unless other alternatives are not effective and patient can take gastroprotective agent (proton pump inhibitor or misoprostol) | Moderate | Strong |
| Indomethacin Ketorolac, includes parenteral | Indomethacin is more likely than other NSAIDs to have adverse CNS effects. Of all the NSAIDs, indomethacin has the most adverse effects. Ketorolac: Increased risk of gastrointestinal bleeding, peptic ulcer disease, and acute kidney injury in older adults | Avoid | Moderate | Strong |
| drug use within populations; educate | cinculinistental peintenthan cathers i Chi Sre to improve clinicians and preferts and none general send caluate and preferts and none general send and caluate opioid analgesic drugs; is also a mixed | the selication of prescription drugs by of health-outcome, quality-of-care, cost, a | clin icions and patand utilization data | ien Strong ate patterns of a. |

| Organ System, | available Rationale | Recommendation | Quality | Strength of |
|---|---|---|-------------------------------------|-----------------|
| ST Drug Drug | Most muscle relaxants poorly tolerated by older adults because some have | Avoid | Mo d rate Evidence | Recognmendation |
| Carisoprodol Chlorzoxazone Cyclobenzaprine Metaxalone Methocarbamol Orphenadrine | anticholinergic adverse effects, sedation, increased risk of fractures; effectiveness at dosages tolerated by older adults questionable | | | |
| Genitourinary | | | | |
| Desmopressin | High risk of hyponatremia; safer alternative treatments | Avoid for treatment of nocturia or nocturnal polyuria | Moderate | Strong |

The primary target audience is practicing clinicians. The intentions of the criteria are to improve the selection of prescription drugs by clinicians and patients; evaluate patterns of drug use within populations; educate clinicians and patients on proper drug usage; and evaluate health-outcome, quality-of-care, cost, and utilization data.

CNS = central nervous system; NSAIDs = nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs.

Olanzapine

<u>Table. 2015 AGS Beers Criteria for Potentially Inappropriate Medication Use in Older Adults Due to Drug-Disease or Drug-Syndrome Interactions That May Exacerbate the Disease or Syndrome</u>

| Disease or Syndrome | Drug(s) | Rationale | Recommendation | Quality of Evidence | Strength of Recommendation |
|------------------------|---|---|----------------|---|---|
| Cardiovascula | ır | | | | |
| Heart failure | NSAIDs and COX-2 inhibitors Nondihydropyridine CCBs (diltiazem, verapamil)—avoid only for heart failure with reduced ejection fraction Thiazolidinediones (pioglitazone, rosiglitazone) Cilostazol Dronedarone (severe or recently decompensated heart failure) | Potential to promote fluid retention and exacerbate heart failure | Avoid | NSAIDs: Moderate CCBs: Moderate Thiazolidinediones: High Cilostazol: Low Dronedarone: High | Strong |
| Syncope | AChEIs Peripheral alpha-1 blockers Doxazosin Prazosin Tertiary TCAs Chlorpromazine Thioridazine Olanzapine | Increases risk of orthostatic hypotension or bradycardia | Avoid | Peripheral alpha-1 blockers: High TCAs, AChEIs, antipsychotics: Moderate | AChEIs, TCAs: Strong Peripheral alpha-1 blockers, antipsychotics: Weak |
| Central Nervo | ous System | | | | |

epilepsy.

Clozapine

Excludes inhaled and topical forms: Oral and parenteral corticosteroids may be required for conditions such as exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease but should be prescribed in the lowest chean of the shortest possible and for the shortest possible and the shorte

seizures in whom

| Disease or Syndrome | ThioridazineThiothixeneTramadol | alternative agents have not been effective | Recommendation | Quality of Evidence | Strength of Recommendation |
|----------------------------------|---|--|--|---|----------------------------|
| Delirium | Anticholinergics (see Table 7 in the original guideline document for full list) Antipsychotics Benzodiazepines Chlorpromazine Corticosteroids^a H₂-receptor antagonists Cimetidine Famotidine Nizatidine Meperidine Sedative hypnotics | Avoid in older adults with or at high risk of delirium because of the potential of inducing or worsening delirium Avoid antipsychotics for behavioral problems of dementia or delirium unless nonpharmacological options (e.g., behavioral interventions) have failed or are not possible <i>and</i> the older adult is threatening substantial harm to self or others Antipsychotics are associated with greater risk of cerebrovascular accident (stroke) and mortality in persons with dementia | Avoid | Moderate | Strong |
| Dementia or cognitive impairment | Anticholinergics (see Table 7 in original guideline document for full list) Benzodiazepines H₂-receptor antagonists Nonbenzodiazepine, benzodiazepine receptor agonist hypnotics Eszopiclone Zolpidem Zaleplon Antipsychotics, chronic and as-needed use | Avoid because of adverse CNS effects Avoid antipsychotics for behavioral problems of dementia or delirium unless nonpharmacological options (e.g., behavioral interventions) have failed or are not possible <i>and</i> the older adult is threatening substantial harm to self or others. Antipsychotics are associated with greater risk of cerebrovascular accident (stroke) and mortality in persons with dementia | Avoid | Moderate | Strong |
| drug use within po | audionce Anthe practiding allinician. The inpulations: educate clinicians and patients • Benzodiazepines and topical forms. Oral and parenteral corte e lowest encouncements. | on proper drug usage: and evaluate inpaired psychomotor | health-outcome, quality-of-c alternatives are not | druggy clinicians and patie are, cost, and utilization data Opioids: of chronic obstructive puln Moderate | ı. |
| | benzodiazepine annel blocker of the lagorist cholinestera serotonin reuptake inhibitors; TCA = tricy nypnotics | acting benzodiazepines | except for seizure | | |
| | Eszopiclone Zaleplon Zolpidem TCAs SSRIs Opioids | If one of the drugs must be used, consider reducing use of other CNS-active medications that increase risk of falls and fractures (i.e., anticonvulsants, opioid- | Opioids: avoid, excludes pain management due to recent fractures or joint replacement | | |

| Disease or Syndrome | Drug(s) | receptor agonists antipsychotics, antidepressants, | Recommendation | Quality of Evidence | Strength of Recommendation |
|--|--|--|--|---|---|
| | | benzodiazepine receptor agonists, other sedatives and hypnotics) and implement other strategies to reduce fall risk | | | |
| Insomnia | Oral decongestants Pseudoephedrine Phenylephrine Stimulants Amphetamine Armodafinil Methylphenidate Modafinil Theobromines Theophylline Caffeine | CNS stimulant effects | Avoid | Moderate | Strong |
| Parkinson disease | All antipsychotics (except aripiprazole, quetiapine, clozapine) Antiemetics Metoclopramide Prochlorperazine Promethazine | Dopamine-receptor antagonists with potential to worsen parkinsonian symptoms Quetiapine, aripiprazole, clozapine appear to be less likely to precipitate worsening of Parkinson disease | Avoid | Moderate | Strong |
| Gastrointest | inal | | | | I |
| History of gastric or duodenal ulcers | Aspirin (>325 mg/day) Non–COX-2 selective NSAIDs | May exacerbate existing ulcers or cause new or additional ulcers | Avoid unless other alternatives are not effective and patient can take gastroprotective agent (i.e., proton- pump inhibitor or misoprostol) | Moderate | Strong |
| Kidney and l | Urinary Tract | | | | |
| Chronic kidney disease Stages IV or less (creatinine clearance <30 mL/min) | NSAIDs (non-COX and COX-selective, oral and parenteral) | May increase risk of acute kidney injury and further decline of renal function | Avoid | Moderate | Strong |
| Urinary incontinence (all types) in women | Estrogen oral and transdermal (excludes intravaginal estrogen) Peripheral alpha-1 blockers Doxazosin Prazosin Terazosin | Aggravation of incontinence | Avoid in women | Estrogen: High Peripheral alpha-1 blockers: Moderate | Estrogen: Strong Peripheral alpha-1 blockers: Strong |

| Displace or Syndrome prostatic | urinary incontingues (see Table 7 in the original guideline document for | retentioRationale | Recommendation | Quality of Evidence | Strength of Recommendation |
|--------------------------------|--|-------------------|----------------|---------------------|----------------------------|
| hyperplasia | complete list) | | | | |

The primary target audience is the practicing clinician. The intentions of the criteria are to improve selection of prescription drugs by clinicians and patients; evaluate patterns of drug use within populations; educate clinicians and patients on proper drug usage; and evaluate health-outcome, quality-of-care, cost, and utilization data.

^aExcludes inhaled and topical forms. Oral and parenteral corticosteroids may be required for conditions such as exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease but should be prescribed in the lowest effective dose and for the shortest possible duration.

CCB = calcium channel blocker; AChEI = acetylcholinesterase inhibitor; CNS = central nervous system; COX = cyclooxygenase; NSAID = nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug SSRIs = selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors; TCA = tricyclic antidepressant.

Table. 2015 AGS Beers Criteria for Potentially Inappropriate Medications to Be Used with Caution in Older Adults

| Drug(s) | Rationale | Recommendation | Quality of Evidence | Strength of Recommendation |
|---|--|--|---------------------------|----------------------------|
| Aspirin for primary prevention of cardiac events | Lack of evidence of benefit versus risk in individuals ≥80 | Use with caution in adults ≥80 | Low | Strong |
| Dabigatran | Increased risk of gastrointestinal bleeding compared with warfarin and reported rates with other target-specific oral anticoagulants in adults aged ≥75; lack of evidence of efficacy and safety in individuals with CrCl <30 mL/min | Use with caution in adults ≥75 or if CrCl <30 mL/min | Moderate | Strong |
| Prasugrel | Increased risk of bleeding in older adults; benefit in highest-risk older adults (e.g., those with prior myocardial infarction or diabetes mellitus) may offset risk | Use with caution in adults ≥75 | Moderate | Weak |
| Antipsychotics Diuretics Carbamazepine Carboplatin Cyclophosphamide Cisplatin Mirtazapine Oxcarbazepine SNRIs SSRIs TCAs Vincristine | May exacerbate or cause syndrome of inappropriate antidiuretic hormone secretion or hyponatremia; monitor sodium level closely when starting or changing dosages in older adults | Use with caution | Moderate | Strong |
| Vasodilators | May exacerbate episodes of syncope in individuals with history of syncope | Use with caution | Moderate | Weak |

The primary target audience is the practicing clinician. The intentions of the criteria are to improve selection of prescription drugs by clinicians and patients; evaluate patterns of drug use within populations; educate clinicians and patients on proper drug usage; and evaluate health-outcome, quality-of-care, cost, and utilization data.

 $CrCl = creatinine\ clearance;\ SNRIs = serotonin-nore pine phrine\ reuptake\ inhibitors;\ SSRIs = selective\ serotonin\ reuptake\ inhibitors;\ TCAs = tricyclic\ antidepressants.$

Table. 2015 AGS Beers Criteria for Potentially Clinically Important Non-Anti-infective Drug-Drug Interactions That Should Be Avoided in Older Adults

| Object Drug and Class | Interacting Drug and Class | Risk Rationale | Recommendation | Quality of Evidence | Strength of Recommendation |
|---|----------------------------------|---|--|---------------------------|----------------------------|
| AGAE Bnervous system (CNS)-active selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors | | be hacticaspilesiskonf enzodia hyperkalemia | zeAncidercontinepriserescorrection by patients with demonstrated | p Maderate lio | and themses ants (TCAs); |

| Object Drug and Class | Interacting Drug and Class | Risk Rationale | hypok alenda while taking an ACEI | Quality of Evidence | Strength of Recommendation | |
|---|--|---|--|---------------------------|----------------------------|--|
| Anticholinergic | Anticholinergic | Increased risk of cognitive decline | Avoid, minimize number of anticholinergic drugs (see Table 7 in original guideline document) | Moderate | Strong | |
| Antidepressants (i.e., TCAs and SSRIs) | ≥2 other CNS-active drugs ^a | Increased risk of falls | Avoid total of≥3 CNS-active drugs ^a ; minimize number of CNS active drugs | Moderate | Strong | |
| Antipsychotics | ≥2 other CNS-active drugs ^a | Increased risk of falls | Avoid total of≥3 CNS-active drugs ^a ; minimize number of CNS active drugs | Moderate | Strong | |
| Benzodiazepines and nonbenzodiazepine, benzodiazepine receptor agonist hypnotics | ≥2 other CNS-active drugs ^a | Increased risk of falls and fractures | Avoid total of≥3 CNS-active drugs ^a ; minimize number of CNS active drugs | High | Strong | |
| Corticosteroids, oral or parenteral | NSAIDs | Increased risk of peptic ulcer disease or gastrointestinal bleeding | Avoid; if not possible, provide gastrointestinal protection | Moderate | Strong | |
| Lithium | ACEIs | Increased risk of lithium toxicity | Avoid, monitor lithium concentrations | Moderate | Strong | |
| Lithium | Loop diuretics | Increased risk of lithium toxicity | Avoid, monitor lithium concentrations | Moderate | Strong | |
| Opioid receptor agonist analgesics | ≥2 other CNS-active drugs ^a | Increased risk of falls | Avoid total of≥3 CNS-active drugs ^a ; minimize number of CNS drugs | High | Strong | |
| Peripheral alpha-1 blockers | Loop diuretics | Increased risk of urinary incontinence in older women | Avoid in older women, unless conditions warrant both drugs | Moderate | Strong | |
| Theophylline | Cimetidine | Increased risk of theophylline toxicity | Avoid | Moderate | Strong | |
| Warfarin | Amiodarone | Increased risk of bleeding | Avoid when possible; monitor international normalized ratio closely | Moderate | Strong | |
| Warfarin | NSAIDs | Increased risk of bleeding | Avoid when possible; if used together, monitor for bleeding closely | High | Strong | |

^aCentral nervous system (CNS)-active drugs: antipsychotics; benzodiazepines; nonbenzodiazepine, benzodiazepine receptor agonist hypnotics; tricyclic antidepressants (TCAs); selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs); and opioids.

Table. 2015 AGS Beers Criteria for Non-Anti-Infective Medications That Should Be Avoided or Have Their Dosage Reduced with Varying Levels of Kidney Function in Older Adults

| Medical Class and Medication | Creatinine Clearance, mL/min, at Which Action Required | Rationale | Recommendation | Quality of Evidence | Strength of Recommendation |
|------------------------------------|--|---|----------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|
| Cardiovascular | or Hemostasis | | | | |
| Amiloride | <30 | Increased potassium, and decreased sodium | Avoid | Moderate | Strong |
| Apixaban | <25 | Increased risk of bleeding | Avoid | Moderate | Strong |

 $ACEI = angiotens in-converting\ enzyme\ inhibitor;\ NSAID = nonsteroidal\ anti-inflammatory\ drug.$

| Productive Class | <3℃reatinine Clearance, | Increase designation and ending | Accidemmendation | Modarate | Str@ength of |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---|--|----------------------|--------------------------|
| and Edoxaban Medication | 30-5/min, at Which Action Required | Increased risk of bleeding | Reduce dose | Moderate Evidence | Recommendation Strong |
| | <30 or >95 | | Avoid | | |
| Enoxaparin | <30 | Increased risk of bleeding | Avoid | Reduce dose | Strong |
| Fondaparinux | <30 | Increased risk of bleeding | Avoid | Moderate | Strong |
| Rivaroxaban | 30-50 | Increased risk of bleeding | Reduce dose | Moderate | Strong |
| | <30 | | Avoid | | |
| Spironolactone | <30 | Increased potassium | Avoid | Moderate | Strong |
| Triamterene | <30 | Increased potassium, and decreased sodium | Avoid | Moderate | Strong |
| Central Nervous | s System and Analgesics | | | ' | |
| Duloxetine | <30 | Increased gastrointestinal adverse effects (nausea, diarrhea) | Avoid | Moderate | Weak |
| Gabapentin | <60 | CNS adverse effects | Reduce dose | Moderate | Strong |
| Levetiracetam | ≤80 | CNS adverse effects | Reduce dose | Moderate | Strong |
| Pregabalin | <60 | CNS adverse effects | Reduce dose | Moderate | Strong |
| Tramadol | <30 | CNS adverse effects | Immediate release: reduce dose | Low | Weak |
| | | | Extended release: avoid | | |
| Gastrointestinal | | ' | ' | | ' |
| Cimetidine | <50 | Mental status changes | Reduce dose | Moderate | Strong |
| Famotidine | <50 | Mental status changes | Reduce dose | Moderate | Strong |
| Nizatidine | <50 | Mental status changes | Reduce dose | Moderate | Strong |
| Ranitidine | <50 | Mental status changes | Reduce dose | Moderate | Strong |
| Hyperuricemia | | | | | |
| Colchicine | <30 | Gastrointestinal, neuromuscular, bone marrow toxicity | Reduce dose; monitor for adverse effects | Moderate | Strong |
| Probenecid | <30 | Loss of effectiveness | Avoid | Moderate | Strong |

<u>Definitions</u>

Quality of Evidence

| High | Evidence includes consistent results from well-designed, well-conducted studies in representative populations that directly assess effects on health outcomes (≥ 2 consistent, higher-quality randomized controlled trials or multiple, consistent observational studies with no significant methodological flaws showing large effects) |
|----------|--|
| Moderate | Evidence is sufficient to determine effects on health outcomes, but the number, quality, size, or consistency of included studies; generalizability to routine practice; or indirect nature of the evidence on health outcomes (≥ 1 higher-quality trial with > 100 participants; ≥ 2 higher-quality trials with some inconsistency; ≥ 2 consistent, lower-quality trials; or multiple, consistent observational studies with no significant methodological flaws showing at least moderate effects) limits the strength of the evidence |
| Low | Evidence is insufficient to assess harms or risks in health outcomes because of limited number or power of studies, large and |

unexplained inconsistency between higher-quality studies, important flaws in study design or conduct, gaps in the chain of evidence, or lack of information on important health outcomes

Strength of Recommendation

| Strong | Benefits clearly outweigh harms, adverse events, and risks, or harms, adverse events, and risks clearly outweigh benefits | |
|--------------|---|--|
| Weak | Benefits may not outweigh harms, adverse events, and risks | |
| Insufficient | Evidence inadequate to determine net harms, adverse events, and risks | |

Clinical Algorithm(s)

None provided

Scope

Disease/Condition(s)

- Any disease or condition in older adults
- Adverse drug events
 - Drug-drug interactions
 - Drug-disease interactions
 - Inappropriate prescribing

Guideline Category

Evaluation

Management

Prevention

Risk Assessment

Clinical Specialty

Family Practice

Geriatrics

Internal Medicine

Pharmacology

Intended Users

Advanced Practice Nurses

Health Care Providers

Hospitals

Managed Care Organizations

| Patients | |
|----------------------|--|
| Pharmacists | |
| Physician Assistants | |
| Physicians | |

Public Health Departments

Nurses

Guideline Objective(s)

To update the 2012 American Geriatric Society (AGS) Beers Criteria using a comprehensive, systematic review and grading of the evidence on drug-related problems and adverse drug events in older adults

Target Population

Populations aged 65 and older in all ambulatory and institutional settings of care in the United States, with the exception of hospice and palliative care

Interventions and Practices Considered

- 1. Avoidance of potentially inappropriate medications (PIMs)
- 2. Use of alternative medications

Major Outcomes Considered

- Prevalence of potentially inappropriate medication use in older adults
- Incidence of medication related problems and adverse drug events in geriatric population
- Mortality related to medication use

Methodology

Methods Used to Collect/Select the Evidence

Hand-searches of Published Literature (Secondary Sources)

Searches of Electronic Databases

Description of Methods Used to Collect/Select the Evidence

Literature Search

The literature from August 1, 2011 (the end of the previous panel's search) to July 1, 2014, was searched to identify published systematic reviews, meta-analyses, randomized controlled trials, and observational studies that were relevant to the project. The initial literature search was conducted on PubMed and the Cochrane Library. The drugs, drug classes, and conditions included in the 2012 criteria were used as initial search terms and were generally focused on "adverse drug events" and "adverse drug reactions." Individual drugs, drug classes, and conditions were searched individually and in combination. Search filters included human subjects, English language, and aged 65 and older. Case reports, case series, editorials, and letters were excluded. Clinical reviews were included for initial screening as potential background information and for reference list review. The initial searches identified 20,748 citations, of which 6,719 were selected for preliminary abstract review. The panel co-chairs reviewed

3,387 citations and abstracts, of which 2,199 were excluded for not meeting the study purpose or not containing primary data. At the time of the panel's face-to-face meeting, the co-chairs had selected 1,188 unduplicated citations for the full panel review. Subsequent searches (defined by panel workgroups) were conducted until December 15, 2014; some of these searches included studies published in the prior 10 years. The American Geriatric Society (AGS) also gave its members and members of the public a chance to submit evidence they felt the panel should consider. Any evidence submitted had to be evidence based and published in a peer-reviewed journal.

Number of Source Documents

Panel members reviewed abstracts, and evidence tables were developed for 342 studies, including 60 systematic reviews and meta-analyses, 49 randomized controlled trials, and 233 observational and other types of publications.

Methods Used to Assess the Quality and Strength of the Evidence

Weighting According to a Rating Scheme (Scheme Given)

Rating Scheme for the Strength of the Evidence

Quality of Evidence

| High | Evidence includes consistent results from well-designed, well-conducted studies in representative populations that directly assess effects on health outcomes (\geq 2 consistent, higher-quality randomized controlled trials or multiple, consistent observational studies with no significant methodological flaws showing large effects) |
|----------|--|
| Moderate | Evidence is sufficient to determine effects on health outcomes, but the number, quality, size, or consistency of included studies; generalizability to routine practice; or indirect nature of the evidence on health outcomes (≥ 1 higher-quality trial with > 100 participants; ≥ 2 higher-quality trials with some inconsistency; ≥ 2 consistent, lower-quality trials; or multiple, consistent observational studies with no significant methodological flaws showing at least moderate effects) limits the strength of the evidence |
| Low | Evidence is insufficient to assess harms or risks in health outcomes because of limited number or power of studies, large and unexplained inconsistency between higher-quality studies, important flaws in study design or conduct, gaps in the chain of evidence, or lack of information on important health outcomes |

Methods Used to Analyze the Evidence

Review of Published Meta-Analyses

Systematic Review with Evidence Tables

Description of the Methods Used to Analyze the Evidence

An independent researcher led the effort to prepare evidence tables and relied on the assistance of one other researcher for the initial drafts of evidence tables. The evidence tables included a summary of the study, as well as a quality rating and rating of the risk of bias for selected articles. The quality rating system was based on the Cochrane Risk of Bias and Jadad scoring system. The ratings were based on six critical elements: evidence of balanced allocation, allocation concealment, blinded outcome assessment, completeness of outcome data, selective outcome reporting, and other sources of bias. Following the Cochrane approach, each article was assigned a quality score (1–6 points) and a risk-of-bias rating. Low risk of bias was indicated by a low risk of bias in all six domains, unclear risk of bias was indicated by an unclear rating on one or more domains (others low) or a high risk of bias on one domain (others low or unclear), and high risk of bias was indicated by a high risk of bias on two or more domains. The independent researcher reviewed all evidence tables and proposed quality and risk-of-bias ratings before they were distributed to the expert panel to use for the Grades of Recommendation Assessment, Development and Evaluation (GRADE) rating process.

Methods Used to Formulate the Recommendations

Description of Methods Used to Formulate the Recommendations

Methods

For this new update, the American Geriatric Society (AGS) employed a well-tested framework that has long been used for development of clinical practice guidelines. Specifically, the framework involved the appointment of a 13-member interdisciplinary expert panel with relevant clinical expertise and experience and an understanding of how the criteria have been previously used. This framework also involved a development process using a modified Delphi method that included a systematic literature review and evaluation of the evidence base by the expert panel. Finally, the Institute of Medicine's 2011 report on developing practice guidelines, which included a period for public comments, guided the framework.

Panel Selection

A panel with expertise in geriatric medicine, nursing, pharmacy practice, research, and quality measures was convened comprising members of the previous panel and new members. Other factors that influenced selection of panel members were the desire to have interdisciplinary representation, a range of medical expertise, and representation from different practice settings (e.g., long-term care, ambulatory care, geriatric mental health, palliative care and hospice). In addition to the 13-member panel, representatives from the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services, National Committee for Quality Assurance, and Pharmacy Quality Alliance were invited to serve as ex-officio members.

Development Process

Since the previous update, the AGS had created a group to monitor the literature and to advise the 2015 expert panel of any articles relevant to the 2012 criteria and respond accordingly. Two members of the expert panel led this group, which was composed of members of the AGS Clinical Practice Committee and other expert members of AGS. The 2015 expert panel convened for a 2-day in-person meeting on July 28–29, 2014, to review the groups' findings and the results of the literature search. Panel discussions were used to define terms and to address questions of consistency, inclusion of infrequently used drugs, strategies for evaluating the evidence, consolidation or expansion of individual criterion, and development of renal dosage and drug–drug interaction tables. The panel then split into four groups, with each assigned a specific set of criteria for evaluation. Groups were assigned as closely as possible according to specific area of clinical expertise (e.g., cardiovascular, central nervous system). Groups reviewed the literature search, selected citations relevant to their assigned criteria, and determined which citations they wanted to see the full-text article for and which should be abstracted into an evidence table. The groups then presented their findings to the full panel for comment and consensus. After the meeting, each group participated in a series of conference calls to continue the literature selection process and resolve any questions.

Each panelist independently rated the quality of evidence and strength of recommendation for each criterion using the American College of Physicians' Guideline Grading System (see the "Rating Scheme for the Strength of the Evidence" and the "Rating Scheme for the Strength of the Recommendations" field), which is based on the Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development and Evaluation (GRADE) scheme developed previously. AGS staff compiled the panelist ratings for each group and returned them to that group, which then reached consensus in a conference call. Additional literature was obtained and included as needed. When group consensus could not be reached, the full panel reviewed the ratings and worked through any differences until consensus was reached. The panel judged each criterion as being a strong or weak recommendation on the basis of the quality of supporting evidence, the frequency and severity of harms, and the availability of better treatment alternatives. For some criteria, the panel provided a "strong" recommendation, even though the quality of evidence was low or moderate, when the potential for harm was substantial and safer or more-effective alternatives were available.

Rating Scheme for the Strength of the Recommendations

Strength of Recommendation

| Strong | Benefits clearly outweigh harms, adverse events, and risks, or harms, adverse events, and risks clearly outweigh benefits | |
|--------------|---|--|
| Weak | Benefits may not outweigh harms, adverse events, and risks | |
| Insufficient | Evidence inadequate to determine net harms, adverse events, and risks | |

Cost Analysis

A formal cost analysis was not performed and published cost analyses were not reviewed.

Method of Guideline Validation

External Peer Review

Description of Method of Guideline Validation

After consensus was reached within the expert panel, the updated guidelines were circulated for peer review to relevant organizations and societies and posted to the American Geriatric Society (AGS) Web site for public comment. Organizations that participated in peer review are listed in the Acknowledgments section of the original guideline document. The panel reviewed and addressed all comments.

Evidence Supporting the Recommendations

Type of Evidence Supporting the Recommendations

The type of supporting evidence is identified and graded for each recommendation (see the "Major Recommendations" field).

Benefits/Harms of Implementing the Guideline Recommendations

Potential Benefits

Careful application of the American Geriatrics Society (AGS) Beers Criteria by healthcare professionals, consumers, payors, and health systems should lead to closer monitoring of drug use. Dissemination of the criteria should lead to increased education and awareness of drug-related problems, increased reporting of drug-related problems, active patient and caregiver engagement and communication regarding medication use, targeted interventions to decrease adverse drug events in older adults, and improved outcomes.

Potential Harms

See the tables in the "Major Recommendations" field for descriptions of adverse drug events.

Contraindications

Contraindications

Androgens (methyltestosterone and testosterone) are contraindicated in men with prostate cancer.

Qualifying Statements

Qualifying Statements

• The goal of the 2015 American Geriatric Society (AGS) Beers Criteria continues to be improving the care of older adults by reducing their exposure to potentially inappropriate medications (PIMs). This is accomplished by using the criteria as an educational tool and quality measure—two uses that are not always in agreement. These criteria are not meant to be applied in a punitive manner. Prescribing decisions

are not always clear-cut, and clinicians must consider multiple factors, including discontinuation of medications no longer indicated. Quality measures must be clearly defined, easily applied, and measured with limited information and thus, although useful, cannot perfectly distinguish appropriate from inappropriate care. The panel considered and vigorously discussed both roles during deliberations. The panel's review of evidence at times identified subgroups of individuals who should be exempt from a given criterion or to whom a specific criterion should apply. Such a criterion may not be easily applied as a quality measure, particularly when such subgroups cannot be easily identified through structured and readily accessible electronic health data. In these cases, the panel felt that a criterion should not be expanded to include all adults aged 65 and older when only certain subgroups have an adverse balance of benefits versus harms for the medication or conversely may be appropriate candidates for a medication that is otherwise problematic.

- Despite past and current efforts to translate the criteria into practice, some controversy and myths about their use in practice and policy
 continue to prevail. The panel addressed these concerns and myths by writing a companion piece to the updated criteria to address the best
 way for patients, providers, and health systems to use (and not use) the 2015 AGS Beers Criteria. Alternative suggestions to medications
 included in the current Use of High-Risk Medications in the Elderly and Potentially Harmful Drug-Disease Interactions in the Elderly quality
 measures are presented in another companion paper (see the "Availability of Companion Documents" field).
- The 2015 AGS Beers Criteria have several important limitations. Older adults are often underrepresented in drug trials. Thus, using an evidence-based approach may underestimate some drug-related problems or lead to weaker evidence grading. The Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development and Evaluation (GRADE) process was used for evidence grading, which allowed for rigor and greater transparency in the evidence grading process. The criteria cannot account for all individuals and special populations; for instance, they do not comprehensively address the needs of individuals receiving palliative and hospice care, in whom the balance of benefits and harms for many drugs on the list may differ from those of the general population of older adults. Finally, the search strategies used might have missed some studies published in languages other than English and studies available in unpublished technical reports, white papers, or other "gray literature" sources.
- The decisions and content of the 2015 AGS Beers Criteria are those of the AGS and the panel members and are not necessarily those of the U.S. government or U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs.

Implementation of the Guideline

Description of Implementation Strategy

An implementation strategy was not provided.

Implementation Tools

Mobile Device Resources

Patient Resources

Pocket Guide/Reference Cards

Resources

For information about availability, see the Availability of Companion Documents and Patient Resources fields below.

Institute of Medicine (IOM) National Healthcare Quality Report Categories

IOM Care Need

Staying Healthy

IOM Domain

Patient-centeredness

Safety

Identifying Information and Availability

Bibliographic Source(s)

American Geriatrics Society 2015 Beers Criteria Update Expert Panel. American Geriatrics Society 2015 updated Beers Criteria for potentially inappropriate medication use in older adults. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2015 Nov;63(11):2227-46. [42 references] PubMed

Adaptation

Not applicable: The guideline was not adapted from another source.

Date Released

2015 Nov

Guideline Developer(s)

American Geriatrics Society - Medical Specialty Society

Source(s) of Funding

American Geriatrics Society

Guideline Committee

American Geriatrics Society 2015 Beers Criteria Update Expert Panel

Composition of Group That Authored the Guideline

Expert Panel Members: Donna M. Fick, PhD, RN, FGSA, FAAN, College of Nursing and Medicine, The Pennsylvania State University, University Park, PA (Co-chair); Todd P. Semla, PharmD, MS, BCPS, FCCP, AGSF, U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs National Pharmacy Benefits Management Services and Northwestern University Feinberg School of Medicine, Chicago, IL (Co-chair); Judith Beizer, PharmD, CGP, FASCP, AGSF, St. Johns University, New York, NY; Nicole Brandt, PharmD, BCPP, CGP, University of Maryland, Baltimore, MD; Robert Dombrowski, PharmD, Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services, Baltimore, MD (Nonvoting member); Catherine E. DuBeau, MD, University of Massachusetts Medical School, Worcester, MA; Woody Eisenberg, MD, Pharmacy Quality Alliance, Inc., Baltimore, MD (Nonvoting member); Jerome J. Epplin, MD, AGSF, Litchfield Family Practice Center, Litchfield, IL; Nina Flanagan, PhD, GNP-BC, APHM-BC, Decker School of Nursing, Binghamton University, Dunmore, PA; Erin Giovannetti, National Committee for Quality Assurance, Washington, DC (Nonvoting member); Joseph Hanlon, PharmD, MS, BCPS, FASHP, FASCP, FGSA, AGSF, Department of Medicine (Geriatric Medicine) School of Medicine, University of Pittsburgh and Geriatric Research, Education and Clinical Center, Veterans Affairs Healthcare (GRECC) System, Pittsburgh, PA; Peter Hollmann, MD, AGSF, Alpert Medical School, Brown University, Providence, RI; Rosemary Laird, MD, MHSA, AGSF, Geriatric Medical Leader for Florida Hospital, Winter Park, FL; Sunny Linnebur, PharmD, FCCP, BCPS, CGP, Skaggs School of Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Sciences, University of Colorado, Aurora, CO; Satinderpal Sandhu, MD, Summa Health Care System and Northeast Ohio Medical University, Akron, OH; Michael Steinman, MD, University of California at San Francisco, San Francisco Veterans

Financial Disclosures/Conflicts of Interest

Each expert panel member completed a disclosure form at the beginning of the guideline process that was shared with the entire panel at the start of each panel meeting and call. Panel members who disclosed affiliations or financial interests with commercial entities are listed below. Panel members were asked to recuse themselves from discussions if they had a potential conflict of interest.

Dr. Beizer is an author and editor for LexiComp, Inc. Dr. Brandt is a consultant for Omnicare, Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services, and University of Pittsburgh and a Section Editor for the *Journal of Gerontological Nursing* and received a grant from Econometrica. Dr. Fick is a paid consultant for SLACK Inc., is an editor for the *Journal of Gerontological Nursing*, and has current R01 funding from the National Institutes of Health and the National Institute of Nursing Research. Dr. Linnebur is a consultant for Colorado Access and Kindred Healthcare. Dr. Semla serves on the AARP Caregiver Advisory Panel, is an editor for LexiComp, and is a consultant for Omnicare. Dr. Semla's wife holds commercial interest in AbbVie (at which she is also an employee), Abbott, and Hospira. Dr. Semla receives honoraria from the AGS for his contribution as an author of Geriatrics at Your Fingertips and for serving as a section editor for the Journal of the American Geriatrics Society and is a past president and chair of the AGS Board of Directors. Dr. Steinman is a consult for Iodine.com, a web start-up company.

Guideline Status

This is the current release of the guideline.

This guideline updates a previous version: American Geriatrics Society 2012 Beers Criteria Update Expert Panel. American Geriatrics Society updated Beers Criteria for potentially inappropriate medication use in older adults. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2012 Apr;60(4):616-31. [35 references]

This guideline meets NGC's 2013 (revised) inclusion criteria

| Guidelir | ne Ava | ailah | ility |
|----------|--------|-------|--------|
| Guidein | | anao | TIIL y |

| Available from the | Journal of the | American (| Geriatrics | Society | Web site |
|--------------------|----------------|------------|------------|---------|----------|

Availability of Companion Documents

The following are available:

| • | Steinman MA, Beizer JL, DuBeau CE, Laird RD, Lundebjerg NE, Mulhausen P. How to use the American Geriatrics Society 2015 Beers |
|---|---|
| | Criteria - a guide for patients, clinicians, health systems, and payors. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2015 Dec;63(12):e1-e7. Available from the Journa |
| | of the American Geriatrics Society Web site |
| • | Hanlon JT, Semla TP, Schmader KE. Alternative medications for medications in the use of high-risk medications in the elderly and |
| | potentially harmful drug-disease interactions in the elderly quality measures. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2015 Dec;63(12):e8-e18. Available from the |
| | Journal of the American Geriatrics Society Web site |
| • | AGS Beers Criteria for potentially inappropriate medication use in older adults. Pocket card. New York (NY): American Geriatrics |
| | Society; 2015. Available for purchase from the GeriatricsCareOnline.org Web site |
| | |

In addition, the 2015 Beers Criteria are available through the iGeriatrics mobile app, available for purchase from the GeriatricsCareOnline.org
Web site

Patient Resources

The following is available:

Alternatives for medications listed in the AGS Beers Criteria for potentially inappropriate medication use in older adults. Patient handout.
 2015 Oct. 3 p. Available to registered users from the GeriatricsCareOnline.org Web site

Please note: This patient information is intended to provide health professionals with information to share with their patients to help them better understand their health and their

diagnosed disorders. By providing access to this patient information, it is not the intention of NGC to provide specific medical advice for particular patients. Rather we urge patients and their representatives to review this material and then to consult with a licensed health professional for evaluation of treatment options suitable for them as well as for diagnosis and answers to their personal medical questions. This patient information has been derived and prepared from a guideline for health care professionals included on NGC by the authors or publishers of that original guideline. The patient information is not reviewed by NGC to establish whether or not it accurately reflects the original guideline's content.

NGC Status

This NGC summary was completed by ECRI Institute on August 23, 2012. The information was verified by the guideline developer on October 5, 2012. This summary was updated by ECRI Institute on May 22, 2014 following the U.S. Food and Drug Administration advisory on Eszopiclone (Lunesta). This summary was updated by ECRI Institute on April 3, 2015 following the U.S. Food and Drug Administration advisory on testosterone products. This summary was updated by ECRI Institute on September 18, 2015 following the U.S. Food and Drug Administration advisory on non-aspirin nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs). This summary was updated by ECRI Institute on February 15, 2016. The updated information was verified by the guideline developer on April 5, 2016. This summary was updated by ECRI Institute on May 24, 2016 following the U.S. Food and Drug Administration advisory on Olanzapine. This summary was updated by ECRI Institute on May 31, 2016 following the U.S. Food and Drug Administration advisory on Aripiprazole (Abilify, Abilify Maintena, Aristada). This summary was updated by ECRI Institute on June 2, 2016 following the U.S. Food and Drug Administration advisory on opioid pain medicines. This summary was updated by ECRI Institute on October 21, 2016 following the U.S. Food and Drug Administration advisory on opioid pain and cough medicines combined with benzodiazepines. This summary was updated by ECRI Institute on November 17, 2016 following the U.S. Food and Drug Administration advisory on Testosterone and Other Anabolic Androgenic Steroids (AAS).

Copyright Statement

This NGC summary is based on the original guideline, which is subject to the guideline developer's copyright restrictions. Inquiries for information should be directed to infoamger@americangeriatrics.org.

Disclaimer

NGC Disclaimer

The National Guideline Clearinghouseâ, & (NGC) does not develop, produce, approve, or endorse the guidelines represented on this site.

All guidelines summarized by NGC and hosted on our site are produced under the auspices of medical specialty societies, relevant professional associations, public or private organizations, other government agencies, health care organizations or plans, and similar entities.

Guidelines represented on the NGC Web site are submitted by guideline developers, and are screened solely to determine that they meet the NGC Inclusion Criteria.

NGC, AHRQ, and its contractor ECRI Institute make no warranties concerning the content or clinical efficacy or effectiveness of the clinical practice guidelines and related materials represented on this site. Moreover, the views and opinions of developers or authors of guidelines represented on this site do not necessarily state or reflect those of NGC, AHRQ, or its contractor ECRI Institute, and inclusion or hosting of guidelines in NGC may not be used for advertising or commercial endorsement purposes.

Readers with questions regarding guideline content are directed to contact the guideline developer.